

EU Emergency

Trust Fund

for Africa

EU Emergency Trust Fund for Africa - Monitoring and Learning System:

EUTF outcomes and impacts

October 2020

Reporting on EUTF outputs

Since 2018, the EUTF Monitoring and Learning System (MLS) has been collecting and analysing data provided by EUTF implementing partners to inform 38 common output indicators.

For example, as of the Fall of 2020 across EUTF beneficiary countries





131,682 jobs were created

people received a basic social service

Reporting on EUTF outcomes and impacts

Why?

Only outcomes and impacts capture the changes effected by the EUTF on the ground



Outputs Outcomes Impacts

Examples

Number of hospitals built

Increased vaccination rate

Increased well-being

It is important to note that the MLS already reports on some "low-level" outcomes, for example "number of jobs created"

Challenges

- No common outcome and indicators across EUTF projects
 - Decreased malnutrition could be measured as increase in dietary diversity, decrease in the % of children stunted, etc.
- Unlike outputs, outcomes that differ from those measured at baseline usually cannot be reported after a baseline survey was conducted, so projects cannot report on common indicators ex-post

Initial solution

Aggregation the number persons experiencing improved outcomes and impacts by thematic areas (e.g. poverty, resilience) across projects

For example, if across 100 surveyed individuals selected to be representative of 10,000 beneficiaries, 70% report an increase in their income



Approximation of **7,000 persons** (70% x 10,000) experiencing reduced poverty counted for this project

Initial aggregated results

Examples from 35 "pilot projects", using data collected up until December 2019



persons with decreased poverty



persons with increased resilience



Migrants and candidates better informed



Largest numbers of persons will come from projects which chose to survey very populous / large areas (where outcomes may exceedingly depend on factors external to the EUTF)

Low number because only one project chose to measure this outcome

To what extent? Would they give up on a high-risk trip?

Dependence on partners' methodological choices

Intensity of change not captured



Issues with

aggregated

approach

Given the caveats highlighted above, the MLS and EUTF management agreed not to go forward with a quantitative aggregation of outcome indicators across projects. The MLS will focus on specific projects providing an overall picture of the Trust Fund's objectives, with analyses to be published in MLS reports or case studies (access them here).



For additional information on the MLS, please check the EUTF monitoring page or contact Eric Davin, team leader edavin@altaiconsulting